



**You have downloaded a document from  
RE-BUS  
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice**

**Title:** Random fixed points of multifunctions in games and dynamic programming

**Author:** Andrzej Nowak

**Citation style:** Nowak Andrzej. (1986). Random fixed points of multifunctions in games and dynamic programming. "Annales Mathematicae Silesianae" (Nr 2 (1986), s. 53-59).



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI  
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka  
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki  
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

ANDRZEJ NOWAK\*

## RANDOM FIXED POINTS OF MULTIFUNCTIONS IN GAMES AND DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

**Abstract.** Recently several authors demonstrated random fixed point theorems for various classes of multifunctions ([7], [8], [2], [3], [12], [10]). On the other hand we do not know any work on applications of these theorems. In this paper we apply to games and dynamic programming a random analogue of the Fan-Kakutani fixed point theorem. We consider a zero-sum two-person game depending on a random parameter, and present sufficient conditions for the existence of a measurable solution. Then we study the existence of measurable stationary optimal programs in discounted dynamic programming with a random parameter.

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $X, Y$  be non-empty sets. A *multifunction*  $\varphi$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a function defined on  $X$  whose values are non-empty subsets of  $Y$ . By the graph of  $\varphi$  we mean

$$\Gamma\varphi := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in \varphi(x)\}.$$

Let  $X, Y$  be linear spaces, and  $Z$  a convex subset of  $X$ . A multifunction  $\varphi$  from  $Z$  to  $Y$  is called *concave* if for all  $x_1, x_2 \in Z, \lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\varphi(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \supseteq \lambda \varphi(x_1) + (1-\lambda) \varphi(x_2).$$

It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is concave iff its graph  $\Gamma\varphi$  is a convex subset of  $Z \times Y$ . A real-valued function  $f$  defined on  $Z$  is called *quasiconvex* if for all  $x_1, x_2 \in Z, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \leq \max \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}.$$

The function  $f$  is *quasi-concave* if  $-f$  is quasi-convex.

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be linear spaces,  $Z$  a convex subset of  $X$ ,  $\varphi$  a multifunction from  $Z$  to  $Y$ , and  $u$  a real-valued function defined on  $\Gamma\varphi$ . If  $\varphi$  is concave,  $u$  quasi-concave, and for each  $x \in Z, u(x, \cdot)$  is bounded from above on  $\varphi(x)$ , then the function*

$$v(x) := \sup_{y \in \varphi(x)} u(x, y), \quad x \in Z$$

*is quasi-concave, and the sets*

$$\psi(x) := \{y \in \varphi(x) : v(x) = u(x, y)\}$$

*are convex (possibly empty).*

---

Received December 10, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1980). Primary 60H25. Secondary 58C30.

\* Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, Katowice, ul. Bankowa 14, Poland.

**Proof.** Let  $x_1, x_2 \in Z$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) &\geq \sup_{y \in \lambda\varphi(x_1) + (1-\lambda)\varphi(x_2)} u(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2, y) = \\
 &= \sup_{y_1 \in \varphi(x_1), y_2 \in \varphi(x_2)} u(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2, \lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2) \geq \\
 &\geq \sup_{y_1 \in \varphi(x_1), y_2 \in \varphi(x_2)} \min\{u(x_1, y_1), u(x_2, y_2)\} = \\
 &= \min\left\{\sup_{y_1 \in \varphi(x_1)} u(x_1, y_1), \sup_{y_2 \in \varphi(x_2)} u(x_2, y_2)\right\} = \min\{v(x_1), v(x_2)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $v$  is quasi-concave.

Now let  $x \in Z$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in \psi(x)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . It follows from the concavity of  $\varphi$  that  $\varphi(x)$  is convex, thus  $\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2 \in \varphi(x)$ . Then

$$u(x, \lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2) \geq \min\{u(x, y_1), u(x, y_2)\} = v(x).$$

Consequently,  $\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2 \in \psi(x)$ .

Throughout the remainder of this section  $X, Y$  are metric spaces and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  a probability space. The Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_X$ . A function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is *measurable* if for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ . The product  $\Omega \times X$  is always considered with the product  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}_X$ . A function  $u: \Omega \times X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *Carathéodory map* if for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $u(\omega, \cdot)$  is continuous, and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $u(\cdot, x)$  is measurable. If  $X$  is separable and  $u$  is a Carathéodory map, then  $u$  is jointly measurable (see e.g. [6, Theorem 6.1]).

Let  $\varphi$  be a multifunction from  $X$  to  $Y$ . We call  $\varphi$  *closed (compact, convex) -valued* if  $\varphi(x)$  is closed (compact, convex) for all  $x \in X$ . For  $A \subset Y$  we define

$$\varphi^{-1}(A) := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The multifunction  $\varphi$  is said to be *upper semicontinuous* (abbreviated to u.s.c.) if for each closed  $F \subset Y$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(F)$  is closed in  $X$ .  $\varphi$  is called *lower semicontinuous* if for each open  $G \subset Y$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(G)$  is open.  $\varphi$  is *continuous* if it is upper and lower semicontinuous.

A multifunction  $\varphi$  from  $\Omega$  to  $X$  is measurable if for each open  $G \subset X$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{A}$  (this is called weakly measurable by Himmelberg [6]). If  $X$  is separable,  $\varphi$  closed-valued and measurable, then  $\Gamma\varphi \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}_X$ . The multifunction  $\varphi$  is called *separable* if it is closed-valued, measurable, and  $X$  contains a countable subset  $E$  such that  $E \cap \varphi(\omega)$  is dense in  $\varphi(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . If  $X$  is separable,  $\varphi$  measurable and  $\varphi(\omega) = \overline{\text{int}\varphi(\omega)}$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then  $\varphi$  is separable ([3, Proposition 4]).

Let  $\varphi$  be a multifunction from  $\Omega \times X$  to  $Y$ , and  $u$  a real-valued function defined on  $\Gamma\varphi$ . Define

$$v(\omega, x) := \sup_{y \in \varphi(\omega, x)} u(\omega, x, y),$$

$$\psi(\omega, x) := \{y \in \varphi(\omega, x) : u(\omega, x, y) = v(\omega, x)\}, \quad \omega \in \Omega, x \in X.$$

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $Y$  be Polish,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  complete,  $\varphi$  compact-valued, separable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $x$ . Assume that  $u$  is measurable in  $\omega$ , i.e. for each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  and each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : y \in \varphi(\omega, x), u(\omega, x, y) > r\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

and continuous in  $(x, y)$ , i.e. for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $u(\omega, \cdot)$  is continuous on

$$\Gamma\varphi(\omega, \cdot) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in \varphi(\omega, x)\}.$$

Then  $v$  is a Carathéodory map, and  $\psi$  is a compact-valued multifunction measurable in  $\omega$  and u.s.c. in  $x$ .

Proof. It is well known that under our assumptions,  $v$  is measurable in  $\omega$  (cf. [14, Theorem 9.1]; [11, Theorem 1.7]). Because of the continuity assumptions, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $v(\omega, \cdot)$  is continuous and  $\psi(\omega, \cdot)$  is compact-valued and u.s.c. ([1, p. 122]). In order to prove measurability of  $\psi(\cdot, x)$  it suffices to show that  $\Gamma\psi(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}_Y$  ([6, Theorem 3.5]). We have

$$\Gamma\psi(\cdot, x) = \{(\omega, y) \in \Gamma\varphi(\cdot, x) : u(\omega, x, y) = v(\omega, x)\}.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is closed-valued and measurable in  $\omega$ ,  $\Gamma\varphi(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}_Y$ . The functions  $u$  and  $v$  are measurable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $(x, y)$ , so they are jointly measurable. Thus  $\Gamma\psi(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}_Y$ , as a measurable subset of  $\Gamma\varphi(\cdot, x)$ .

By  $C(X)$  we denote the Banach space of all real-valued bounded continuous functions on  $X$  with the sup norm. If  $X$  is compact, then  $C(X)$  is a Polish space.

A function  $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$  is a *random contraction* if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  is measurable, and there is a measurable  $k: \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,

$$d(F(\omega, x_1), F(\omega, x_2)) \leq k(\omega) d(x_1, x_2),$$

where  $d$  is the metric of  $X$ . A mapping  $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is called a *random fixed point of  $F$*  if it is measurable and for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$F(\omega, \xi(\omega)) = \xi(\omega).$$

It holds the following random analogue of the Banach fixed point theorem:

THEOREM 1.3 ([5, Theorem 5]). If  $X$  is a Polish space and  $F: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$  is a random contraction, then there exists the unique random fixed point of  $F$ .

Let  $D$  be a multifunction from  $\Omega$  to  $X$  with the  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}_X$ -measurable graph  $\Gamma D$ , and let  $\varphi$  be a multifunction from  $\Gamma D$  to  $X$ .  $D$  is called *stochastic domain of  $\varphi$* . A function  $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a *random fixed point of  $\varphi$*  if it is measurable, and for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\xi(\omega) \in D(\omega) \cap \varphi(\omega, \xi(\omega)).$$

A multifunction  $\varphi$  with stochastic domain  $D$  is said to be *measurable in  $\omega$*  if for all  $x \in X$  and all open  $G \subset X$ ,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : x \in D(\omega), \varphi(\omega, x) \cap G \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$\varphi$  is called *u.s.c. (continuous) in  $x$*  if for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the multifunction  $\varphi(\omega, \cdot)$  is u.s.c. (continuous) on  $D(\omega)$ .

The main results of this paper are based on the following stochastic version of the Fan-Kakutani fixed point theorem:

**THEOREM 1.4** ([3, Theorem 16, Remark 17]; [10, Theorem 6]). *Let  $X$  be a Fréchet space (i.e. linear, metric, complete, locally convex),  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  a complete probability space, and  $D$  a separable multifunction from  $\Omega$  to  $X$  with compact, convex values. Let  $\varphi$  be a closed convex-valued multifunction from  $\Gamma D$  to  $X$ . If  $\varphi$  is measurable in  $\omega$ , u.s.c. in  $x$  and for each  $(\omega, x) \in \Gamma D$ ,  $\varphi(\omega, x) \subset D(\omega)$ , then  $\varphi$  has a random fixed point.*

**2. Random minimax theorem.** In this section we give a random analogue of the Ky Fan minimax theorem (c.f. [4]).

Let  $X, Y$  be non-empty sets and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  a probability space. Let  $A$  be a multifunction from  $\Omega$  to  $X$ ,  $B$  a multifunction from  $\Omega$  to  $Y$ , and  $f$  a real-valued function defined in the graph of  $A \times B$ ,

$$\Gamma(A \times B) = \{(\omega, x, y) \in \Omega \times X \times Y : x \in A(\omega), y \in B(\omega)\}.$$

We shall consider a family  $\{G_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  of *zero-sum two-person games*, where  $G_\omega = (A(\omega), B(\omega), f(\omega, \cdot))$ ;  $\omega$  is interpreted as a *state of nature*,  $A(\omega)$  and  $B(\omega)$  are *sets of strategies*, and  $f(\omega, \cdot)$  is the *payoff function* in state  $\omega$ . A pair  $(x_0, y_0) \in A(\omega) \times B(\omega)$  is a *solution* of the game  $G_\omega$  if

$$\max_{x \in A(\omega)} f(\omega, x, y_0) = f(\omega, \bar{x}_0, y_0) = \min_{y \in B(\omega)} f(\omega, \bar{x}_0, y).$$

We present sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution depending measurably on  $\omega$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Fréchet spaces and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  a complete probability space. Let  $A, B$  be convex compact-valued and separable,  $f$  measurable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $(x, y)$ . If for each  $(\omega, x, y) \in \Gamma(A \times B)$  the sets*

$$\varphi(\omega, y) := \{x' \in A(\omega) : f(\omega, x', y) = \max_{z \in A(\omega)} f(\omega, z, y)\},$$

$$\psi(\omega, x) := \{y' \in B(\omega) : f(\omega, x, y') = \min_{z \in B(\omega)} f(\omega, x, z)\}$$

*are convex, then there exists a measurable  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow X \times Y$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\xi(\omega) = (\xi_1(\omega), \xi_2(\omega))$  is a solution of the game  $G_\omega$ .*

**Proof.** Define a new multifunction  $\Phi$  from  $\Gamma(A \times B)$  to  $X \times Y$  by

$$\Phi(\omega, x, y) := \varphi(\omega, y) \times \psi(\omega, x).$$

Note that  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a solution of  $G_\omega$  iff  $(x_0, y_0) \in A(\omega) \times B(\omega)$  and  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Phi(\omega, x_0, y_0)$ . We prove that  $\Phi$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 1.4. The multifunction  $A \times B$  is convex compact-valued and separable. It follows from Lemma 1.2 that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are compact-valued, measurable in  $\omega$  and u.s.c. in the second variable. Hence  $\Phi$  is convex compact-valued, measurable in  $\omega$ , and u.s.c. in  $(x, y)$ . In order to complete the proof we apply Theorem 1.4.

**REMARK 2.1.** If the function  $f$  in Theorem 2.1 is quasi-concave in  $x$  and quasi-convex in  $y$ , then the sets  $\varphi(\omega, y)$  and  $\psi(\omega, x)$  are convex (see Lemma 1.1).

**REMARK 2.2.** We have considered zero-sum two-person games for the sake of simplicity. Our result can be easily generalized for noncooperative  $n$ -person games.

### 3. Measurable stationary optimal programs in discounted dynamic programming.

W. Sutherland [13] studied a deterministic model of the economy and presented sufficient conditions for the existence of a stationary optimal program. In this section we present a random analogue of his result.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space. We shall consider a family of *dynamic programming models*  $M_\omega = (S, \varphi(\omega, \cdot), r(\omega, \cdot), \beta(\omega))$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , where  $S$  is the *set of states* of some controlled system, the same for all models;  $\varphi$  is a multifunction from  $\Omega \times S$  to  $S$ ,  $\varphi(\omega, s)$  is the *set of all states attainable from  $s$  in one step*;  $r$  is a bounded from above real-valued function defined on  $\Gamma\varphi$ ,  $r(\omega, \cdot)$  is the *reward function* in the model  $M_\omega$ ;  $\beta: \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\beta(\omega)$  is the *discount factor* in  $M_\omega$ .

We assume that the random parameter  $\omega \in \Omega$  is known prior to the decision making. Suppose we start to control our system when it is in state  $s_0 \in S$ . At the first step we choose  $s_1 \in \varphi(\omega, s_0)$  and receive a reward  $r(\omega, s_0, s_1)$ . At the second step we choose  $s_2 \in \varphi(\omega, s_1)$ , and so on. Such a sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is called a *program* starting from  $s_0$  for the model  $M_\omega$ . Future rewards are discounted with the factor  $\beta(\omega)$ , so to a program  $\{s_n\}$  there corresponds the *total discounted reward*

$$R(\omega, s_0, s_1, \dots) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n(\omega) r(\omega, s_n, s_{n+1}).$$

A program  $\{s_n\}$  is *optimal* if it maximizes  $R(\omega, s_0, s_1, \dots)$  among all programs starting from the same state  $s_0$ . The *decision problem* associated with the model  $M_\omega$  is following: given  $s_0$  find an optimal program starting from  $s_0$ . The *value function* of the model  $M_\omega$  is defined by

$$V(\omega, s) := \sup R(\omega, s, s_1, s_2, \dots),$$

where supremum is taken over all programs  $\{s_n\}$  such that  $s_0 = s$ . It is well known that  $V$  satisfies the *optimality equation*

$$(3.1) \quad V(\omega, s) = \sup_{t \in \varphi(\omega, s)} (r(\omega, s, t) + \beta(\omega) V(\omega, t)), \quad \omega \in \Omega, s \in S.$$

If  $r(\omega, \cdot)$  is bounded, then  $V(\omega, \cdot)$  is the unique solution of this equation. A program  $\{s_n\}$  is *optimal* in the model  $M_\omega$  iff

$$(3.2) \quad V(\omega, s_n) = r(\omega, s_n, s_{n+1}) + \beta(\omega) V(\omega, s_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Throughout the remainder of this section we shall assume that  $S$  is a metric space, and  $\varphi, r, \beta$  depend measurably on  $\omega$ .

A program  $\{s_n\}$  is called *stationary* if  $s_n = s_0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Such a program is denoted by  $s_0^\infty$ . We shall give sufficient conditions for the existence of a stationary optimal program which depends measurably on  $\omega$ . First we examine the existence of stationary programs.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $S$  is a convex compact subset of a Fréchet space, and the multifunction  $\varphi$  is closed convex-valued and u.s.c. in  $s$ , then for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists a stationary program in the model  $M_\omega$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $s^\infty$  is a stationary program in the model  $M_\omega$  iff  $s \in \varphi(\omega, s)$ . By the Fan-Kakutani fixed point theorem, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  there is  $s \in S$  such that  $s \in \varphi(\omega, s)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be a convex compact subset of a Fréchet space,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  a complete probability space,  $\varphi$  closed convex-valued multifunction from  $\Omega \times S$  to  $S$  which is separable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $s$ ,  $r$  measurable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $(s, t)$ , and  $\beta$  measurable. If for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  and each  $s \in S$  the set*

$$(3.3) \quad \psi(\omega, s) := \{t \in \varphi(\omega, s) : V(\omega, s) = r(\omega, s, t) + \beta(\omega) V(\omega, t)\}$$

*is convex, then there exists a measurable  $f: \Omega \rightarrow S$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $f(\omega)^\infty$  is an optimal program in the model  $M_\omega$ .*

**Proof.** By (3.2),  $s^\infty$  is optimal in  $M_\omega$  iff  $s \in \psi(\omega, s)$ . We show that  $\psi$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 1.4 with  $D(\omega) = S$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . First we prove that  $V$  is a Carathéodory map. For  $u \in C(S)$  we define

$$(3.4) \quad L(\omega, u)(s) := \sup_{t \in \varphi(\omega, s)} (r(\omega, s, t) + \beta(\omega) u(t)), \quad \omega \in \Omega, s \in S.$$

$L$  is a random contraction on  $C(S)$  (see [11, Lemma 3.1]). By Theorem 1.3,  $L$  has the unique random fixed point  $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow C(S)$ . For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\xi(\omega)$  is a solution of the optimality equation (3.1), thus  $V(\omega, s) = \xi(\omega)(s)$ . Hence  $V$  is a Carathéodory map.

In virtue of Lemma 1.2,  $\psi$  is a compact-valued multifunction, measurable in  $\omega$  and u.s.c. in  $s$ . We have assumed that  $\psi$  is convex-valued. Because of Theorem 1.4,  $\psi$  has a random fixed point  $f: \Omega \rightarrow S$ . Thus for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $f(\omega)^\infty$  is an optimal program in  $M_\omega$ .

Now we replace rather technical assumption about convexity of  $\psi(\omega, s)$  by some additional conditions on  $\varphi$  and  $r$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $S$ ,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $r$  and  $\beta$  be as in Theorem 3.2. If  $\varphi$  is concave in  $s$  and  $r$  is concave in  $(s, t)$ , then there exists a measurable function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow S$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $f(\omega)^\infty$  is an optimal program in  $M_\omega$ .*

**Proof.** We show that under our assumptions the multifunction  $\psi$  defined by (3.3) is convex-valued, and apply Theorem 3.2. Denote by  $CC(S)$  the set of all  $u \in C(S)$  which are concave. It is not difficult to see that  $CC(S)$  is a closed subset of  $C(S)$ . Hence  $CC(S)$  is a Polish space. Restrict the operator  $L$  defined by (3.4) to  $\Omega \times CC(S)$ . Under our assumptions,  $L(\omega, \cdot)$  is an endomorphism of  $CC(S)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then  $L$  is a random contraction on  $CC(S)$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the concavity of  $V(\omega, \cdot)$ . Then the function  $r + \beta V$  is concave in  $(s, t)$ . Because of the optimality equation (3.1) and Lemma 1.1,  $\psi$  is convex-valued.

**REMARK 3.1.** We can generalize our model to the case when the state space also varies with  $\omega$ . Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 hold if we assume that  $S$  is a separable multifunction from  $\Omega$  to a Fréchet space  $X$  with compact convex values.

**REMARK 3.2.** In [9] we studied similar problems in a stochastic dynamic programming model.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. BERGE, *Espaces Topologiques (Fonctions multivoques)*, Dunod, Paris 1959.
- [2] H. W. ENGL, *Random fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings*, Pacific J. Math. 76 (1978), 351—360.
- [3] H. W. ENGL, *Random fixed point theorems*, in *Nonlinear Equations in Abstract Spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1978.
- [4] KY FAN, *Fixed point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 38 (1952), 121—126.
- [5] O. HANS, *Random operator equations*, in *Proceedings of the 4th Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, Vol. II, Part I, Berkeley 1961, 185—202.
- [6] C. J. HIMMELBERG, *Measurable relations*, Fund. Math. 87 (1975), 53—72.
- [7] S. ITOH, *A random fixed point theorem for a multivalued contraction mapping*, Pacific J. Math. 68 (1977), 85—90.
- [8] S. ITOH, *Measurable or condensing multivalued mappings and random fixed point theorems*, Kodai Math. J. 2 (1979), 293—299.
- [9] A. NOWAK, *Stationary optimal process in discounted dynamic programming*, Zastos. Mat. 25 (1977), 475—487.
- [10] A. NOWAK, *Random fixed points of multifunctions*, Prace Nauk. Uniw. Śląsk., Prace Matematyczne 11 (1981), 36—41.
- [11] A. NOWAK, *Sequences of contractions and random fixed point theorems in dynamic programming*, Demonstratio Math. 14 (1981), 343—353.
- [12] S. REICH, *A random fixed point theorem for set-valued mappings*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 64 (1978), 65—66.
- [13] W. SUTHERLAND, *On optimal development in multi-sectoral economy: The discounted case*, Rev. Econom. Stud. 37 (1970), 585—596.
- [14] D. H. WAGNER, *Survey of measurable selection theorems*, SIAM J. Control Optim. 15 (1977), 859—903.